11th January 1954]

the population of the city not because of the wetness but because of the open space. Taking all things into account, to build up on wet lands is bad."

Sri S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—" May I know what induced the Government to convert wet lands into house-sites? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :— " The hon. Member should know that Tiruchirappalli is the third or the fourth biggest town in the State. The population is increasing and there must be some space for people to live in."

Sri C. KANDASAMY :—" வேறு புஞ்சை நிலத்தை அங்கே வீடு கட்டுவதற்குக் கொடுப்பார்களா ? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" அந்த நிலம்தான் சௌகர்யமாக இருந்தது."

Sri M. PALANIYANDI :—" முதல் அமைச்சர் பதில் சொல்லும் போது காலியான இடம் இருப்பது நகருக்கு சுகாதார வசதி அளிக்கும் என்று சொன்னார். திருச்சி நகர மத்தியில் காலி நிலங்களை அரசாங்கம் வீடு கட்டுவதற்குக் கொடுத்தது தவறு அல்லவா ? அது மாதிரி இனிமேல் கொடுப்பதற்கு முன் வராமல் இருப்பதற்கு அரசாங்கம் முன் வருமா ? "

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" I gave my opinion. I tried my best to prevent it. I have failed in many places. Such is the greed of owners of lands."

Sri V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Is it not a fact that wide, extensive and expansive space is available within the vicinity of Tiruchirappalli town for this purpose? "

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :— " Not as convenient as this, Sir."

Sri K. VINAYAKAM :—" While the question is being answered, the Hon. the Chief Minister expresses one view and the Hon. the Minister for Revenue expresses another view. Are not the Government aware of the conflict of views expressed by the Cabinet on the same question? "

The Hon. Sri C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" The Revenue Minister gives facts and expresses what has been done. I am giving the opinion of the Government. Unfortunately, Government are not always successful when dealing with vested interests."

Velayutha Mudaliar High School, Kurinjipadi.

* 435 *Q.*—Sri K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the action taken by the Government on the request made by the management of Sri S. K. Velayutha Mudaliar High School, Kurinjipadi, South Arcot district to restore the sanction to open Form IV?

[11th January 1954

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" The management of Sri S. K. Velayudha Mudaliar High School, Kurinjipadi, has been permitted to retain the Form IV opened in the school from the beginning of the current school year."

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" பள்ளிக்கூட கட்டடம் திறப்பதற்கு முன்பு நாலாவது பாரம் ஆரம்பிக்கலாம் என்று உத்தரவு கொடுத்த பிறகு என் நாலாவது பாரத்தை ஆரம்பிக்காமல் உத்தரவை வாபஸ் வாங்கிக் கொண்டார்கள்."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" There was really some misunderstanding and that led to the withdrawal of the permission already granted to open Form IV. That mistake would have been rectified much earlier. But, unfortunately, the party went to Court for a writ and while it was pending in Court, we could not take any further action."

SRI A. GOVINDASWAMI NAYAGAR :—" ஜில்லா போர்ட் ஹைஸ்கூல் திறந்திருக்கிறார்களே, அந்த ஹைஸ்கூல் நடக்குமா அல்லது தனிப்பட்ட முதலியாரால் திறக்கப்பட்ட ஹைஸ்கூல் நடக்குமா ?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I have called for a report from the Special Officer of the District Board whether there is any need for the continuance of the District Board High School in view of the private school existing there. After the receipt of that report, we will take a final decision."

SRI K. PARTHASARATHY :—" Is there any objection to locating two high schools in the same place?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" It will be a waste unless there is necessity for two high schools. With reference to the population of the students available there, to have two high schools would be a waste. The Government will take into consideration all these factors and come to a final decision."

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" What is the misunderstanding between the Government and the management of the school?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" The fact that permission had already been granted for the opening of Form IV was not brought to the notice of the Government when sanction was given to open Form IV in the District Board High School. Therefore, it was stated in the orders that the private management might run the school with Forms I to III and the District Board might run the school with Form IV. But later on it came to the notice of the Government that permission had already been granted for the opening of Form IV in the school run by the private management, and before the mistake could be rectified, the party rushed to Court."